MDR Tracking Number: M5-04-3330-01

Under the provisions of Section 413.031 of the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, Title 5, Subtitle A of the Texas Labor Code, effective June 17, 2001 and Commission Rule 133.305 titled Medical Dispute Resolution - General and 133.308 titled Medical Dispute Resolution by Independent Review Organizations, the Medical Review Division (Division) assigned an IRO to conduct a review of the disputed medical necessity issues between the requestor and the respondent. The dispute was received on June 1, 2004.

The Medical Review Division has reviewed the IRO decision and determined that **the requestor prevailed** on the issues of medical necessity. Therefore, upon receipt of this Order and in accordance with § 133.308(r)(9), the Commission hereby Orders the respondent and non-prevailing party to **refund the requestor \$460.00** for the paid IRO fee. For the purposes of determining compliance with the Order, the Commission will add 20-days to the date the Order was deemed received as outlined on page one of this Order.

In accordance with §413.031(e), it is a defense for the carrier if the carrier timely complies with the IRO decision.

Based on review of the disputed issues within the request, the Medical Review Division has determined that **medical necessity was not the only issue** to be resolved. The impairment rating rendered on 6/26/03 was found to be medically necessary. This dispute also contained services that were not addressed by the IRO and will be reviewed by the Medical Review Division.

On August 6, 2004, the Medical Review Division submitted a Notice to requestor to submit additional documentation necessary to support the charges and to challenge the reasons the respondent had denied reimbursement within 14-days of the requestor's receipt of the Notice.

The following table identifies the disputed services and Medical Review Division's rationale:

DOS	CPT CODE	Billed	MAR	Paid	EOB Denial Code	Rationale
6/26/03	99080- 73	\$20.00	\$15.00	\$0.00	U	Review of the carriers EOB revealed CPT codes 99080-73 and 99080-69 were denied by the
6/26/03	99080- 69	\$20.00	\$15.00	\$0.00	U	carrier as "U-Unnecessary medical without a peer review." However, the TWCC-73 is a required report and is not subject to an IRO review. The Medical Review Division has jurisdiction in this matter will review the disputed charges according to the 1996 Medical Fee Guideline. Review of the TWCC 73 and the TWCC 69 supports delivery of service. Reimbursement is recommended in the amount of \$30.00.
TOTAL		\$40.00	\$30.00	\$0.00		The requestor is entitled to reimbursement in the amount of \$30.00.

ORDER

On this basis, and pursuant to §§402.042, 413.016, 413.031, and 413.019 of the Act, the Medical Review Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to pay the unpaid medical fees in accordance with the fair and reasonable rate as set forth in Commission Rule 133.1(a)(8) plus all accrued interest due at the time of payment to the requestor within 20-days of receipt of this Order. This Order is applicable to date of service 6/26/03 in this dispute.

The respondent is prohibited from asserting additional denial reasons relative to this Decision upon issuing payment to the requestor in accordance with this Order (Rule 133.307(j)(2)).

This Order is hereby issued this 8th day of October 2004.

Margaret Q. Ojeda Medical Dispute Resolution Officer Medical Review Division

MQO/mqo

AMENDED REPORT

08/05/2004

David Martinez TWCC Medical Dispute Resolution 7551 Metro Center Suite 100 Austin, TX 78744

Patient: TWCC #:

MDR Tracking #: M5-04-3330-01

IRO #: 5284

Specialty IRO has been certified by the Texas Department of Insurance as an Independent Review Organization. The Texas Worker's Compensation Commission has assigned this case to Specialty IRO for independent review in accordance with TWCC Rule 133.308, which allows for medical dispute resolution by an IRO.

Specialty IRO has performed an independent review of the care rendered to determine if the adverse determination was appropriate. In performing this review, all relevant medical records and documentation utilized to make the adverse determination, along with any documentation and written information submitted, was reviewed.

This case was reviewed by a licensed Chiropractor. The Specialty IRO health care professional has signed a certification statement stating that no known conflicts of interest exist between the reviewer and any of the treating doctors or providers or any of the doctors or providers who reviewed the case for a determination prior to the referral to Specialty IRO for independent review. In addition, the reviewer has certified that the review was performed without bias for or against any party to the dispute.

CLINICAL HISTORY

___ was injured while working for ___ on ___. __ was provided with a variety of treatment until he was sent for a designated doctor appointment on 2/28/03. The date of MMI was set as 2/1/04. On 6/26/03, the treating doctor performed an impairment rating examination.

DISPUTED SERVICES

Disputed services include the medical necessity of an impairment rating.

DECISION

The reviewer disagrees with the previous adverse determination for the impairment rating.

BASIS FOR THE DECISION

The reviewer indicates that as per the law in 2003, there was not a 90 day window of time for dispute of an impairment rating as per the current law TWCC rule 130.12 b. therefore, the treating doctor was exercising his right to perform an impairment to dispute the former impairment in concurrence with TWCC rule.

Specialty IRO has performed an independent review solely to determine the medical necessity of the health services that are the subject of the review. Specialty IRO has made no determinations regarding benefits available under the injured employee's policy. Specialty IRO believes it has made a reasonable attempt to obtain all medical records for this review and afforded the requestor, respondent and treating doctor an opportunity to provide additional information in a convenient and timely manner.

As an officer of Specialty IRO, Inc, dba Specialty IRO, I certify that there is no known conflict between the reviewer, Specialty IRO and/or any officer/employee of the IRO with any person or entity that is a party to the dispute.

Sincerely,